

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,969	06/30/2003	George Michael Wannop	ACE-18880	6948
10361	7590 08/23/2005	•	EXAMINER	
ANTONY C. EDWARDS			THOMAS, DAVID B	
	270 HIGHWAY 33 WEST BC VIX IX7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3723	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The
PRGE MICHAEL
address
nely. communication.
he merits is
r. ·
CFR 1.121(d).
PTO-152.
al Stage

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,969	WANNOP, GEORGE MICHA	WANNOP, GEORGE MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David B. Thomas	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. Bys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 August 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-48 is/are ventions of the above claim(s) 25-48 is/are ventions of the above claim(s) 25-48 is/are ventions of the above claim(s) 1-24 and 49-74 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		, ,	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority does as a claim for copies of the priority does as a copies of the priority does as a copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for comparison of the certified copies of the priority does not copied to copies of the certified copies of the	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species 1 (Figures 1-5) in the reply filed on 18 August 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 25-48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because the line quality is poor. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the

Art Unit: 3723

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-24 and 49-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Regarding claims 1 and 49, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "magnet" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

It is necessary for the words which precede "means" to convey a function to be performed. For example, the phrase "latch means" is definite because the word "latch" conveys the function "latching." In general, if the phrase can be restated as "means for ______," and it still makes sense, it is definite. In the above example, "latch means" can be restated as "means for latching." This is clearly definite. However, if "conduit means" is restated as "means for conduiting," the phrase makes no sense because the word "conduit" has no functional connotation, and the phrase is indefinite. In the instant case, "means for magneting" makes no sense.

Art Unit: 3723

Double Patenting

7. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 8. Claims 1-6, 19, 22, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6, 19, 22, 23, and 24 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,601,483. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 7-18, 20, 21, and 49-74 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-24 of U. S. Patent No. 6,601,483 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully anticipated by the patent

Art Unit: 3723

claims, since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4497. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt